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Abstract: WSNs are now considered a valid field of research in which challenging subjects are under investigation involving energy consumption, routing algorithms, sensor collection, robustness, effectiveness, and other topics. Software-defined networking has recently gained massive popularity in replacing conventional networks with versatile and adaptive network management. Scientists and enterprise have widely adopted WSNs. However, the protection threats have prevented general admission of these recent networking models. Improvements under methodologies have increased the number of attacks on network rivals, such as advancing DOS attacks with DDOS attacks that are hardly differentiated from regular firewalls. In different system situations, WSNs are slowly being implemented. ZigBee is one of the most commonly used protocols for WSN transceivers. ZigBee is a standard-based WSN that has been expanded to support low-cost, low-power machine-to-machine radio and IOTs or anything on the internet. WSNs contain a large collection of sensor nodes with limited capacity to collect sensitive information. By connecting people to physical and virtual things, IoT has operated many new and stimulating applications. A router, software-defined Zigbee network controllers, Gateway-united switches, and ZigBee devices are included in the intent. The intended algorithm detects and decreases DDoS attack by using the above-mentioned structure and the Method to evaluate the network in PCA state on data traffic packs recognizing DDoS attack capability. At the end of the article, we compare our method with Entropy and it shows that our method is able to detect DDoS attacks more reliably. Reports from the experiment show the intended algorithm has excellent completion and that the intended structure adapts with heterogeneous and vulnerable ZigBee devices to enhance the security of the WSN. Lastly, the goal and intent of this paper is to develop a proper, effective and efficient approach to future DDOS vulnerability predictions based on Fuzzy Systems and genetic algorithms and to further compare the results of existing approaches that can direct the selection of suitable approaches.

Keywords: ZigBee, ZigBee Software Defined-Network ,ZB-SDN , DDoS, PCA, Genetic algorithm , Fuzzy System

I. Introduction

In many industrial systems, WSN has the ability to be commonly employed. Mechanisms need to be designed to wide-scale WSN by accessing a broad number of devices for allow self-healing and maintenance of the system[1]. Depending on the different network conditions, the system should be able to change the routing strategy. Moreover, according to the essential features of WSN, the sensor node is a system that operates autonomously for data transmission.[2] Based on the Software Defined Network, the innovative version of the sensor network Software-Defined Zigbee Network was designed to solve the above-mentioned problems. It has the various important features of the standard SDN, namely the control plane and information plane dissociation.

ZB-SDN is a revolutionary type of SDN-based wireless sensor network which consists of large sensor nodes and a centralized controller.

The control plane supports the network's intelligence and is primarily reliable for topology, energy control and resource allocation. On the other hand, OpenFlow is a new type of SDN-based network protocol, and in recent years it has been the most commonly used southbound interface. In OpenFlow protocol, the network equipment maintains one or several flow tables, and the data stream is forwarded only by these flow tables and also OpenFlow is implemented to tree networks, which is one of the most current topologies in WSN and ZigBee protocol[3]. However, we use OpenFlow as a structure of SDN in purposed with TCP and UDP routing protocol, tree topology, sensor node Zigbee and a centralised controller to dissociate the control plane from the data plane [1]. What is more, the capabilities of SDN include software-relaying traffic analysis, centralized control, and general view of network and dynamic updating of transmission rules that can efficiently assist in the detection of DDoS attacks [4].The vast increase in IoT infrastructure has raised the concern of network security for IoT devices and the potential opportunity for perpetrators to exploit access, and in turn, disengage the services that they rely upon[2]. An essential feature of ZB-SDN is that it separates network
control and transmission functions. The ZB-SDN controller in the control tier is eligible for IoT centralized logic control. ZB-SDN switches, for example, two-tier or three-tier switches, router, synchronizer, and wireless access points, act as Zigbee data tiers only, and they only transmit (open-flow) performance. They do use a programming interface provided by the ZB-SDN controller, users can communicate directly with ZigBee devices, leading-edge Configure computing, analyze the environment, and deploy security controls. This deterrence avoids the potential risks of malfunction and interruption of service, preventing access to IoT devices such as ZigBee, preventing unauthorized access to peripherals, preventing and controlling changes.

II. RELATED WORK

Several related studies were pushed to address DDoS attacks on SDN networks. Principal Component Analysis (PCA), though, is a standard scheme that eliminates information volume, primarily used for image analysis. Hong, et al. proposed a network-based Slow HTTP DDoS attack defense scheme that can be detected and mitigated by Slow HTTP DDoS attacks via a software-defined network. Gaganjot, et al. [6] To detect DDoS attacks, use Bayesian Network, Wavelets, Support Vector Machine and KNN.

Jing, et al. [7] Reinforcing Realtime Anti-DDoS (RADAR) behavior to track and throttle DDoS attacks via adaptive correlation analysis based on unmodified commercial off-shelf SDN switches. By detecting attack characteristics in suspicious streams, it reliably identifies attacks and locates victims by adaptive similarity analysis to throttle the attack traffic.

Yao, et al. [8] Built an efficient global network flow table function value based on the basis of the OpenFlow flow table function and the flow table entropy function. We decide the qualified SVM for all flow table entry. By evaluating the effects of the simulation, we confirm that the detection scheme effectively reduces the time needed to start detection of attack and identification of classification and has a lower false alarm frequency.

Di, et al. [9] We propose to use Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to assess the network status of traffic packs data. It includes SD-IoT controllers, IoT-integrated SD-IoT switches and IoT phones for the SDN region.

We then introduced an algorithm to detect and mitigate DDoS attacks using the proposed SD-IoT model, and a cosine similarity of the pack-in message level vectors at the boundary SD-IoT transfer ports is used in the proposed algorithm to determine whether DDoS attacks occur in the IoT.

Dayal, et al. [11] Existing solutions to the SDN DDoS problem are outlined and narrowly divided into three types: analytical methods, rule-based approaches, and machine-learning approaches. Analytical appearances use statistical methods to evaluate SDN network traffic. Kokila, et al. [12] Used high precision classifier with less false positive level to differentiate between normal flow and DDoS flow. We analyze and compare the SVM classifier with other DDoS classifiers. The experiments show that SVM performs precise classification than others. Some researchers focus on theoretical as well as game-theoretical differences.

Bizu, et al. [13] Highlighted the robust and versatile game theoretical ADS on the mMTC services SDN network. L-ADS aims to pursue efficiency and effectiveness simultaneously by applying S-ADS as a precursor and activating M-ADS when necessary.

Liehuang, et al. [14] Propose Predis, a cross-domain detection system that preserves confidentiality for SDNs. In order to protect privacy, Predis implements disturbance authentication and data encryption and uses a computationally simple and effective KNN as its detection algorithm.

PCA is an ancient method of reducing data size that is usually used for data reduction. We use it on software-defined data collected in the Zigbee network.

The aim of this network is to examine it as a DDoS attack. Together with the standard DDoS attack form. Our system of detection. Uses PCA, discrete traffic through each switch in normal and unusual traffic. In our environment, We collect data from the network as a whole traffic packs and test in different positions the entire network method, distribution method, and entropy.

Our findings show that all three architectures can handle old attacks on DDoS, but the new type of attacks on DDoS, while entropy can not recognize Nouveau style of SDN combating DDoS assault at weak points. In this paper, we consider the identification of DDoS attack and reduction in our software-defined structure of the Zigbee network (ZB-SDN).

- Implement a general software-defined Zigbee network architecture and controller equipment configuration, ZB-SDN gateway switches and ZigBee phones.
- We support a process for the evaluation of anomalies in the SDN network using key component analysis. The aim of the algorithm to recognize and decrease DDoS attacks with the ZB-SDN structure is to focus on the main component analysis of the pack-in flow at the Container of the ZB-SDN boundary switches and evaluate the output of the intended method with Entropy, a popularly used scheme.
The simulation findings indicate that the intended algorithm can locate the ZB-SDN computer from which a DDoS attack is sent; the intended method easily manages and decreases the ZB-SDN DDoS attack. We recognize a new type of Distributed Denial of Service attack, with a particular focus on the Zigbee network software.

The journal is constructed as follows. We reduce the related work and main enrichments of this paper in Section II. Section III introduces the general structure for ZB-SDN, presents the structure of the controller equipment, and explains the problem of DDoS attacks in ZB-SDN bases on ZigBee devices. Characterizes how to apply Principal Component Analysis to network analysis to identify and decrease DDoS attacks based on the ZB-SDN architecture in Section IV. Section V introduces experimental settings and quality evaluations. Finally, Section VI provides conclusions.

III. DDoS ATTACKS IN THE ZB-SDN ENVIRONMENT

SDN is one of the Software-defined Everything Model’s most popular applications and one of SDN's most important areas. Zigbee devices are programmable, productive, manageable, cost-efficient, and flexible in ZB-SDN. ZB-SDN disconnects the control and data plane. Controllers and switches are the primary elements of ZB-SDN. ZB-SDN points to be suitable to the changing traffic models, high-bandwidth, and dynamic setting of today’s applications, and it is an emerging technology that can provide security protection solutions because it is capable of detecting attacks.

Figure 1. ZB-SDN structure

i. ZB-SDN Structure

In this section, we represent a general structure for ZB-SDN adopting the Software-defined Everything model, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The dedicated ZB-SDN structure can be seen as a prolongate description of the SDN structure applied to ZigBee sensors, also an indefinite kind of IoT structure relying on the SDN as purposed in [15]. The structure Can be broken down into three grades: application level, control level, and Creating services level. In the cloud computing center, the application tier contains the server. ( or cloud satellite controller [16] as illustrated in Fig.2.(a) (b), which is Linked to the controllers. The command plane involves the GEO satellite control plane and the ground control plane. The primary function is to get and process a large amount of information about network traffic and to obtain data flow mode by increasing the powerful computing capacity of NN. The control level includes controller equipment with various ZB-SDN controllers running a distributed operating system with rationally centralized control and a tree topology feature for data transmission in a distributed physical network. That ZB-SDN switch incorporates the role of a Zigbee gateway, switch, and each ZB-SDN switch can access specific Zigbee actuating devices and sensing devices.
ii. **IN ZB-SDN DDoS ATTACKS**

A DDoS attack is a sort of attack targeting or threatening Zigbee nodes from various sources. One origin of various distributed IP addresses and build a DOS for end uses. During its programmability feature, SDN allows DDoS attack protection solutions. The ZB-SDN controllers in the ZB-SDN controller equipment are responsible for the logic control of all ZigBee devices in the intended ZB-SDN structure. It is easy to manage and configure the hierarchical control logic, but it also creates security problems. Similar to SDN, through the programmability of the intended ZB-SDN system, ZB-SDN is able to offer positive schemes to detect and decrease DDoS attacks. We will evaluate the mechanism of DDoS attacks in ZB-SDN as shown in Figure 3.

1. The enemy of DDoS sends a new package to a certain ZB-SDN switch, where the script (scapy) of the attack packet is generated.
2. If the flow table things of the ZB-SDN switch do not fit equally, the ZB-SDN switch attaches the header to the packet-in message and transfers it to one controller of the ZB-SDN network.
3. The ZB-SDN controller unpacks the message of the pack-in and creates a new flow table element for the ZB-SDN switch.

When an opponent of DDoS creates a large number of unknown sets, In the controller unit, the ZB-SDN controller receives a large number of packet messages, Not only does the ZB-SDN controller and the ZB-SDN switch SDN provide network resources. Instead, consume the ZB-SDN controller's CPU, memory and other resources, leading to increased delay and even downtime. Furthermore, the ZB-SDN switches are continuously added to new flow table items, and the ZB-SDN switches cannot continue to manage the new incoming packs if the number of flow table items in the ZB-SDN switches exceeds the maximum. The ZB-SDN switches therefore cannot function properly. The controller receives a large number of packet messages that not only supply network resources between the ZB-SDN controller and the SDN ZB-SDN switch.

### IV. DDoS ATTACK DETECTION AND DECREASES ALGORITHM

#### 4.1 Entropy:

His ability to measure randomness in a network is the main reason why entropy is called. The higher the entropy, the higher the randomness, and vice versa. So, whenever entropy is less than a threshold value, we can say there has been a DDOS attack. Entropy is a way for detecting SDN DDoS attacks. There are two
essential elements for application of entropy to DDoS detection; The size of the window depends on a time limit or number of packs. Within this window, entropy is measured to measure doubt in the pack to come. A threshold is required to detect an attack. If the estimated entropy passes or is below a threshold, an attack will be detected, depending on the scheme.

supposing All this number of normal traffic is S and ZCD we call it couple ZigBee Destination and mj is amount of traffic of ZCD j is ni amount of time. So that

\[ T = \sum_{j=1}^{M} m_j \]

we can calculate entropy with this formula:

\[ G(Z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{m_j}{T} \log_2 \frac{m_j}{T} \]

we can see the result \( G(X) \) in \((0, \log_2 M)\). When the population is maximum, it uses the value 0 And the value is taken.\( \log_2 M \) when the population is dispersed to the maximum.

4.2 PCA traditional networks:

Maybe the most commonly used technique for data reduction on the planet is the main element analysis. Everyone uses it but here's the thing. It doesn't actually do any data reduction. Principal component analysis is the idea of trying to get a different view of our data in which we can separate it better.

Analysis of the main component is a mathematical method that modifies several correlated variables to several unrelated variables called main components. PCA is used to indicate variation in a dataset and to produce powerful models. It is usually used to facilitate data exploration and visualization. Thus j-th first component captures the total energy of the data with the recent j-1 to maximize extra energy. Foremost, we suppose that the interface controller capable to get transfer data through the network, which could be simply accomplished through utilizing a current record to get pack data in Software-defined Zigbee network. We usage the followers to report the transfer:

- **ZSD Couple.** ZCD offers a Couple of nodes represent a single pack’s source node and intent node.
- **Flow of ZSD q.** For this ZCD, a ZSD stream holds all transfers. If the network has k entry, the total l² PoP Couples and therefore l² ZSD Couples will be available. For a short time we have set the number of ZSD flows to p.
- **Time intermingles** with t. We collect the transfer of the progressive network for total \( M \times N \) second and divide the time limit into t bits. Farther, the duration of each period is m second. And we could modify the number of time periods n to n1, Until the span of each cycle has been set to m 1, so that we could adjust the length of time to m 1, so that we could adjust the length of time to \( n \times m = n_1 \times m_1 \). We could therefore get a fit u, that \( n > q \).
- **Matrix Z.** Z is a combination of n and q, the matrix forms \( n \times q \). Column j is the j-th ZSD flow time series, while row k presents the ZSD flows of time period j’s.

It can be determined for matrix \( Z^N \), \( Z^N X_{oj} = \lambda_{joj} \) (1)

Where \{vj,j = 1,...,q\} is the ownvector \{\lambda_{ij},j = 1,...,q\} is the vj’s own values. Could approximate the original matrix by discovering the first r non-negligible main component. Detecting anomalies is based on dividing z (the j-th row of Matrix Z’s, A set of all R-th duration flows between normal and abnormal elements. And could break z into:

\[ z = z^* + z^- \]

In which \( x^* \) is the residual portion and \( x^- \) of the traffic. To do this, the main components of regular subspace \((w1,w2,...,wr) P\) must be obtained. We were able to write \( z^* \) and \( z^- \) as:

\[ z^* = PP^N z = Cz, \text{ and } z^- = (I - PP^T)z = C^- z \]

Where matrix C expresses a direct operator executing a projection on a normal subspace and \( C^- \) projects on a strange subspace. In a major change to \( x^- \), the appearance of an unusual volume will join a conclusion. The square prediction error (ZBSPE) is a powerful statistical to detect unusual changes in \( x^- \):

\[ \text{ZBSPE} = \|z^-\|^2 = \|C^- z\|^2 \]

(4)
Or attend network traffic to be regular when $\text{ZBSPE} \leq \Theta_d^2$ has a trust level of $1 - d$ where $\Theta_d^2$ denotes the ZBSPE threshold. Jackson or Mudholkar developed a numerical test for the residual vector known as the $Q$-statistic.

$$\Theta d^2 = \varphi_1 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \varphi_i^2 \right] + 1 + \frac{\varphi_2 \varphi_i (i-1)}{\varphi_i^2} + \frac{1}{\varphi_1^2}$$

where

$$iC = 1 - \frac{2 \varphi_1 \varphi_3}{3 \varphi_2^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_j = \sum_{k=z+1}^{r} \lambda_{jk}, \ j = 1, 2, 3$$

And where $\lambda_{jk}$ is the variability generated by proposing $j$-th key element information ($Z$ or $Z^T$), and $bd$ is the $1 - d$ percentile in the normal standard distribution. For matrix $Z$ of size $n \times q$, the calculation of the main components is equal to the determination of the regular value of the matrix $Z^N Z$, which is a measure of the covariance between flows. Select the $Z$ rows as the Euclidean space points, so we have the $R^q$ t-points dataset. The $i$-th own vector calculated from the spectral decomposition of $Z^N Z$ is each main component $o_j$:

$$Z^N Z o_j = \lambda_j v_j$$

where $\lambda_j$ is the eigenvalue corresponding to $v_j$. Because $Z^N Z$ is certainly symmetrically optimistic, the proprietary vectors are orthogonal and the related proprietary properties are absolute. By con-vention, the ownvectors have unit standard and the own values are organized in a decent manner, so that that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_q$. By applying the Rayleigh Quotient of $Z^N Z$, it can be shown that the ownvector corresponds to the maximum residual energy. We can write the $l$-th main component $vl$ as:

$$ol = \arg \max_{|o|=1} \| X - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (Z o_j) N \| v$$

Measuring the set of all main components, $\{ o_j \}_{j=1}^{q}$, is equivalent to calculating of $Z^N Z$ ownvectors. It is possible to use the main component space to examine the transformed data. $Z o_j$ gives the central $j$ axis as a function of time and can be separated into the unit length $\sigma_j = \sqrt{\lambda_j}$. So we've got each main axis $j$:

$$ni = \frac{x_{ij}}{\sigma_j} \quad j=1,...,q.$$  

Through construction, the $ui$ are orthogonal. The above equation shows that when weighted through $o_j$, all ZSD couples produce one dimension of the transformed results.

$N_j$ Catch the $j$-th most important time sharing for all OD pairs and the set of $\{ v_j \}_{j=1}^{p}$ Captures time variations patterns common to ZSD pairs, referred to as Z's ownflow. The set of main components $\{ o_j \}_{j=1}$ can be grouped as columns of a main matrix $M$ having length $q$ as $q$. $nj$ captures the $j$-th strongest temporal trend common the all OD Couples, and the set of $\{ v_j \}_{j=1}^{p}$ Catch thetime-varying trends common to the ZSD Couples, refer to them as the eigenflow of $Z$. The set of principal components $\{ o_j \}_{j=1}^{q}$ can be Arranged as columns of the central $M$ matrix with width $q \times q$. Similarly, we can form the matrix $n \times q$ $M$ in which column $i$ is $ui$, which $O$, $M$ and $\theta_j$ can be Set up to write single ZSD stream $Z_j$ as:

$$Z_j = U(O^T_{ij}) \quad j=1,...,q$$

The element of $\{ \theta_j \}_{j=1}^{q}$ and called the singular values, and $\|Zvi\|_{U}^{T}Z^TZO_j = \lambda_{ji}v_{ij}^T v_j = \lambda_i$.

### 4.3 system Description and problem Description

#### 4.3.1. SDN Process

This means that packet matching is limited in SDN storage and processing resources. With DDoS attack on SDN, these resources can be effectively eliminated. The corresponding system information in SDN are as follows. Different flow charts can be checked by pipeline processing in a switch according to the OpenFlow main specification, so we can detect that there is a flow chart in a switch.
There is at least one flow table record rule in each SDN switch that specifies how to accept switches with incoming traffic packets. These guidelines include recording host and destination data and prioritizing recordings for this package (e.g. sending or dropping). A packet may have several rules in the flowchart. Only the law with the highest priority will be processed by priority. If there are several flow tables, the transfer can execute one changed guideline from another flow table after the original rule has been applied. For those packets which have no rules in the flow table, they will be placed in a buffer on request and demanded by the controller. The switch stores the packets available in the field and usually passes the packet header to the controller and waits of practice. Once packages fill this area then no space for new packages is available, the switch will fall some packets. Once the controller opens a message, the flow table is checked to suit it. When it does not do so the controller sends the PACK-OUT to all the connected switches, and tells the target host to return an email to the controller when one of the switches matches, and the controller sets the message The controller sends the stream table to all the connected switches. Then obey the directions of the main shift. If you don't play, you can wait until the end of the game.

When DDoS occurs, a network explosion occurs. However, for these packages, the two models only contain DDoS packets. Another is that there are many packets sent from another origin to another, and the other is that they start in a short time. It takes time to separate, unlike other times, such as the hot blast problem. But they generally have growth rates that are exponential. Typically the DDoS attack looks like a big fire. Another difference between these hot topics with DDoS is that you typically see this once, but to increase its efficiency and power, DDoS requires constant access by the target robot. In SDN environments, there will be two specific side effects. One is the impact on the switches, the other is the impact on the controller, a higher-specific switch and controller device that has considerable space and runs faster, allowing more packets to be controlled by the SDN environment.

**Figure 4. flow entry in a flow table**

### 4.3.2. The SDN Current Form DDoS Threat

In this report, we define a new type of DDoS that may intensify the effect on the ZB-SDN system (Effect on switches or Controller Impact). This current type of attack on DDoS varies from the old attack on DDoS, which automatically chooses the target of the pack. The attack does not reach a specific target list, but SDNs. Therefore, there will be no focused network detection, so no database may alert the danger, so detecting and capturing will be more difficult. Since there is no continuity between the collections, it is very difficult to identify system flows, which ensures that the stream list would cost a lot of room. Therefore, when each pack absorbs a table fluid, the table can be easily filled with attack flow.

**Purposed Scheme**

In a typical SDN, all the extra work (data collection, matrix approximation, result comparisons) on the controller side must be carried out at each time interval. According to the distance between controller and switches and the controller’s computing capability, this could place the controller in a risky position. It includes the ZSD couples in this subnet (or both) that are the source or endpoint of the ZSD channel q_j of the j-th subnet. So we have a set of {Z_1', Z_2', ..., Z_s'} matrices. Every Z' interval correlates to Z. We also noticed that our scheme has the same issue that in order to recalculate the ZBSPE threshold for full-term performance, such a scheme is necessary. This will also cause a serious overload. As the data gets bigger and bigger, the measurement duration would be longer and longer, and it would inevitably not be feasible to do it in one time interval.
V. EXPERIMENT AND RESULT

We run the following experiments to test the efficiency of our scheme to see how PCA, PCA partition and Entropy work in various ZB-SDN circumstances.

![Figure 5. In our experiment, the topology (ONOS)](image)

By implementing Mininet, we set up a test environment establishing a small scale tree topology network of nine switches and 64 nodes directly connected to 9 switches. We may represent this for every ZCD (S, d):

\[(S,D), o=1,2,\ldots,64, d=1,2,\ldots,64, \text{ and } S\neq D. \quad (11)\]

We select tree topology that is one of the most popular topologies (star, tree, mesh) used end-user Zigbee, and Switches can be linked directly to each other. We generate Dummy flow across applying Scapy, simulating Normal traffic from period 0 to 180-second DDoS assault initiated, and collecting all data in 200 seconds (DDoS attack last 20 seconds). The topology with the Tree Routing Protocol algorithm is shown in Fig.5. (In this graph, we use ONOS to display GUI). Each switch is linked to a different switch and linked to 8 nodes. "Node 64" is the target database and "node 1" is usual traffic and DDoS attack node 2,3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POX Controller</td>
<td>Python-based controller framework supporting OpenFlow communication protocols; required for Zigbee switch interaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mininet</td>
<td>Network emulator that creates a network with virtual nodes, switches, controllers and links.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WireShark</td>
<td>Emulates, uses UDP packs to generate normal traffic and DDoS attack traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapy</td>
<td>Able to scan, forge, create packages, sniff and attack. Generates random traffic packs and IP spoofing DDoS attack packs for launching attacks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Experimental Parameters

We used Mininet to test the built form of detection as a network emulator. To build a network scenario, it offers a practical virtual environment. 9 Switch, 64 nodes, controller based on the UDP and TCP protocol simulated the intended method. To implement the method of identification of the attack, a python-based POX controller was used. To generate network traffic, we used the Scapy tool. Comparison of PCA and Entropy:

A popular way to detect DDoS in SDN is to collect the flow statistics or traffic characteristics from the switches and determine the entropy estimation randomness in the network packets. Entropy and vice versa are the higher randomness the higher. Through setting a threshold, an attack is detected Based on the system, if the entropy passes above or below it.

Entropy is one metric capturing the degree of dispersal or concentration. Suppose in one definition, The full number of passengers is S, where N SD-Couples (Source-Destination Couples) occurs, and ni stands for SD-Couple I traffic. Therefore, in this analysis, SD-Couple I will occur ni times. So S= rolloverNi=1 ni. And this network's entropy is defined as:
$$H(X) = -\sum_{j}^{N} \frac{n_j}{S} \log \frac{n_j}{S}$$

(12)

i. **Principal Component Analysis (PCA):**

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a similar way to reduce the measured data to a new set of axes. These axes are called the main axes or components, where each main component has the feature that points in the data in the preceding components in the direction of maximum variability or residual energy, given the energy is already known. Entropy and PCA relation.

The finding $H(X)$ is within the range $(0, \log_2 N)$. It takes the value 0 at the maximum concentration of the distribution and takes the value log2N at the maximum dispersion of the distribution.

ii. **IO Graphs**

Wireshark IO Graphs show the total traffic in a capture folder that is typically represented in bytes or packs (bits / bytes per second) at a frequency per second.

![Comparison Between Entropy(a) and PCA(b) IO Graphs](image)

Figure 6. Comparison Between Entropy(a) and PCA(b) IO Graphs

iii. **Round Trip Time**

Round trip supported by the time stamp of recognition matching to a specific segment.

![Comparison Between Entropy(a) and PCA(b) Round Trip Time](image)

Figure 7. Comparison Between Entropy(a) and PCA(b) Round Trip Time

iv. **Throughput**

Average throughput and goodput.

The throughput is the maximum rate at which the information is transferred from source to sink. It is measured as the number of packets arriving at the sink in bits per second (bps).

![Comparison Between Entropy(a) and PCA(b) Throughput](image)

Figure 8. Comparison Between Entropy(a) and PCA(b) Throughput

v. **Sequence of times (Stevens)**

A graph of numbers of the TCP series versus time. Entropy and PCA relation

![Comparison Between Entropy(a) and PCA(b) Round Trip Time](image)

Figure 11.
vi. **Sequence of times (tcptrace)**

The graph of the time-sequence displays a stream of data over time. Shows similar TCP statistics to the software tcptrace, including forwarding lines, acknowledgments, partial acknowledgments, reverse window dimensions, and null doors. Fig 12. Entropy and PCA correlation.

vii. **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS Fuzzy system & GA algorithm**

We control 200 data vectors that show the degree of trust in the routes. We use 60 data vectors to train the systems developed and 40 data vectors to test the systems produced. We may compare the outcomes of the processes in figure 4. Figure 11 shows the difference between the effects of the proposed strategies and the goal values. There are a number of errors, as you can see in figure 11, that the Fuzzy system can make less than the GA system. The effect can be determined by the number of generations (gen size). In this article is Gen size=200. One GA parameter that may be important is the number of chromosomes (pop size).

VI. **CONCLUSION**

In this article, we talk about a new DDoS scheme using PCA to track software-defined DDoS attacks in the network environment of Zigbee. Next, we explore the function of guided architecture with entropy, a standard model. We’ve shown that this strategy has clear results from another technique. Meanwhile, we’ve observed a recent DDoS attack on the Zigbee SDN network that could cause more damage to the SDN, and
we've used this multi-detection method in this novel DDoS assault that finds the novel danger to be barely natural variability. While the effects of the fuzzy scheme are better than a genetic algorithm, it should be remembered that for both approaches there are statistical criteria influencing the output outcome. Future work with other methods to DDoS inference should propose and identify these attacks as DDoS based on the model of Fuzzy Synthetic Analysis. Therefore, the controller must design and implement dynamic routing algorithms and test more powerful algorithms based on the ZB-SDN method to identify and eradicate DDoS attacks.
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