## Semi-Strong Setsin a Graph

V.Praba<sup>1</sup>, P. Aristotle<sup>2</sup> and V. Swaminathan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research scholar, Sri Chandrasekharendra Saraswathi Viswa Mahavidyalaya, Kanchipuram – 631 561, Tamilnadu, India
<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Rajalakshmi Engineering College, Chennai – 602 105, Tamilnadu, India.
<sup>2</sup>PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Government Arts College, Sivagangai – 630 561, Tamilnadu, India.
<sup>3</sup>Ramanujan Research Center in Mathematics, Saraswathi Narayanan College, Madurai – 625 022, Tamilnadu, India.

**Abstract:** Let G = (V, E) be a simple, finite undirected graph. A subset *S* of V(G) is called a semi-strong stable set if  $|N(v) \cap S| \leq 1$  for every v in V(G)[5]. The hereditary property of a semi-strong stable set is used to define two parameters. A subset *S* of V(G) is called a maximal semi-strong set of *G* if *S* is semi-strong and no proper super set of *S* is semi-strong. The maximum cardinality of a maximal semi-strong set of *G* is called semi-strong number of *G* and is denoted by ss(G). The minimum cardinality of a maximal semi-strong set of *G* is called a semi-strong set of *G* and is denoted by ss(G). In this paper we study the bounds of the above two parameters of standard graphsand the related characterization.

Keywords:strong stable set, semi strong set, semi strong number

AMS Subject Classification: 05C69

## Introduction

Claude Berge[1] introduced the concept of strong stable set in a graph. Let G = (V, E) be a simple, finite undirected graph. A subset S of V(G) is called a strong stable set of G if  $|N[v] \cap S| \leq 1$  for every v in V(G). It can be easily seen that such a set is independent and the distance between any two vertices of S is greater than or equal to three. That is, a strong stable set is a 2-packing. Generalizing this concept, E.Sampathkumar and L.Pushpa Latha [5] introduced semi-strong sets. A subset S of V(G) is called semi-strong stable if  $|N(v) \cap S| \leq 1$  for every v in V(G). A strong stable set is semi-strong stable but the converse is not true. For example, in a cycle of order 5,  $C_5$ , any two consecutive vertices is a semi-strong stable set. If S is a semistrong stable set, then any component of S is either K<sub>1</sub> or K<sub>2</sub> and the distance between any two points of S is not equal to two. E.Sampathkumar and L.Pushpa Latha discussed partition V(G) into semi-strong stable sets. Such a partition is called semi-strong stable coloring. Semi-strong chromatic number of a graph has been defined and several results were derived. In this paper, the property of being aSemi-strong stable set is observed to be hereditary and this property of semi-strong stable set is used to define two parameters namely maximum cardinality a maximal semi-strong stable set (ss(G)) and minimum cardinality a maximalsemi-strong stable set(lss(G)).

**Observation:**The property of being a semi-strong set is hereditary.

**Definition 1**: A subset S of V(G) is called a maximal semi-strong set of G if S is semi-strong and no proper super set of S is semi-strong. The maximum cardinality of a maximal semi-strong set of G is called the semi-strong number of G and is denoted by ss(G). The minimum cardinality of a maximal semi-strong set of G is called the lower semi-strong number of G and is denoted by lss(G).

**Example:** Let  $G = C_9$ . Let  $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_9\}$ .  $S = \{u_1, u_2, u_5, u_6\}$  is a maximum semi-strong set of G. Therefore ss(G) = 4.  $\{u_1, u_4, u_7\}$  is a maximal semi-strong set of G which is not maximum.

## ss(G) and lss(G) for someWell-known Graphs:

 $1.ss(K_n) = \begin{cases} 2 & if \ n = 2 \\ 1 & if \ n \ge 3 \end{cases}$ 2. ss(K<sub>m,n</sub>) = 2 where m,n ≥ 1 3. ss(K<sub>1,n</sub>) = 2 where n ≥ 1 International Journal of Latest Engineering and Management Research (IJLEMR) ISSN: 2455-4847 www.ijlemr.com // Volume 02 - Issue 08 // August 2017 // PP. 22-24

**Observation 1:**  $1 \leq lss(G) \leq ss(G) \leq n$ .

**Observation 2:** If G has a full degree vertex and  $|V(G)| \ge 3$ , then  $ss(G) \le 2$ .

**Proof:** Let *u* be a full degree vertex of *G*. Let  $|V(G)| \ge 3$ . Let  $V(G) = \{u, v_2, v_3, ..., v_n\}$ . Let *S* be a maximum semi-strong set of *G*. For any *i*, *j*, and  $i \ne j$ ,  $(2 \le i \le n)$ ,  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  together cannot belongs to *S*. Suppose  $v_j$  is notadjacent with  $v_i(i \ne j)$ . In this case, ss(G) = 2. If for every *i*, there exist some *j*, such that  $v_j$  is adjacent with  $v_i$ , then  $v_i$ , *u* together cannot belong to *S*. Therefore, in this case ss(G) = 1. Therefore  $ss(G) \le 2$ .

**Corollary 1:** If G has a full degree vertex and  $|V(G)| \ge 3$ , then ss(G) = 2 if and only if G is a star.

**Corollary 2:** If G has afulldegree vertex u and  $|V(G)| \ge 3$ , then ss(G) = 1 if and only if  $\langle V(G) - \{u\} >$  has no isolates.

**Theorem 1:** Let  $|V(G)| \ge 3$ . Then ss(G) = 1 if and only if any two vertices of G have a common vertex in G. **Proof:** By hypothesis, no two vertices of G form a semi-strong set. Therefore ss(G) = 1. The converse isobvious.

**Definition 2:** N(G), called the neighbourhood graph G has the same vertex set as G and two vertices in N(G) are adjacent if and only if they have a common neighbour.

**Theorem 2:**Let G be a graph with atleast three vertices. Then ss(G) = 1 if and only if either G has a full degree vertex say u such that  $\langle V(G) - \{u\} \rangle$  has no isolates or G is a multipartite graphwith atleast three partite sets such that  $N(G) = K_n$ .

**Proof:** Let ss(G) = 1. Suppose G has a full degree vertex say u.Since  $|V(G)| \ge 3$ , by Corollary2,  $\langle V(G) - \{u\} >$  has no isolates. Suppose G has no full degree vertex. Clearly diam(G) = 2 and everyedge is on a triangle.Let  $u_1 \in V(G)$ . Let it be  $V_1 = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{k_1}\}$ . If  $V_1 = V(G)$ , then  $G = K_{k_1}$  and hences  $s(G) = k_1 \ge 2$ , (since  $u_1$  is not a full degree vertex), a contradiction. Therefore  $V_1 \subset V(G)$ .Let  $V_2$  be a maximal independent set containing  $v_1$ . Let  $V_2 = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{k_2}\}$ . If  $V_1 \cup V_2 = V(G)$ , then G is bipartite andhence  $ss(G) \ge 2$ , a contradiction. Therefore there exist  $w_1 \in V(G) - (V_1 \cup V_2)$ .Let  $V_3$  be a maximal independent set containing  $w_1$ . Let  $V_3 = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_{k_3}\}$ . Suppose  $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ . Since ss(G) = 1,  $N(G) = K_n$ .

If  $V(G) \supset V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ , proceeding as before we arrive at a multipartite graph withatleast threevertices such that  $N(G) = K_n$ . The converse is obvious.

**Theorem 3:**ss(G) = n if and only if every component of G is either K<sub>1</sub> or K<sub>2</sub>.

**Proof:** Let ss(G) = n. Then  $V = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$  is a ss-set of G. Therefore G is P<sub>3</sub>-free and K<sub>3</sub>-free. The distance between any two vertices cannot be greater than or equal to two. Therefore either  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  are adjacentor  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  independent. If  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  are adjacent, then there exist no vertex  $u_k$  which is adjacent with either  $u_i$  or  $u_j$  or both. Therefore  $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle$  is a component of G. If  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  are independent, then there exists a vertex  $u_k$  adjacent with  $u_i$  and  $u_j$ . If  $u_k$  is adjacent with  $u_i$ , then  $\langle u_i, u_k \rangle$  is a component of G. If  $u_k$  is adjacent with  $u_j$ , then  $\langle u_j, u_k \rangle$  is a component of G. If  $u_k$  is adjacent with  $u_j$ , then  $\langle u_j, u_k \rangle$  is a component of G. Since ss(G) = n any  $u_i$  can be isolate of G or  $u_i$  forms a K<sub>2</sub>-component with some vertex of G. The converse is obvious.

**Remark 1:** If G is connected, then ss(G) = n if and only if n = 1 or 2.

**Theorem 4:** Let G be any graph. Then ss(G) = 2 if and only if there exist two vertices  $u_1, u_2$  (independent or adjacent) such that any  $u_i, (3 \le i \le n)$ , is adjacent with exactly one of  $u_1, u_2$  and in case  $u_1, u_2$  are independent, either  $\langle u_3, u_4, ..., u_n \rangle >$  has no isolates provided atleast two vertices from  $u_3, u_4, ..., u_n$  are adjacent with  $u_1$ , so also with  $u_2$ , or  $u_3$  is adjacent with every  $u_i, (4 \le i \le n)$  where  $u_3$  is the only vertex from  $u_3, u_4, ..., u_n$  which is adjacent with  $u_1$  and  $u_4, u_5, ..., u_n$  are adjacent with  $u_2$ .

**Proof:**Let S= {  $u_1, u_2$  } be a *ss*-set of G. Then for any  $u_i, (3 \le i \le n)$ ,  $u_i$  is adjacent with exactly one of  $u_1, u_2$ . Let  $u_3, u_4, \dots, u_r$  be adjacent with  $u_1$  and  $u_{r+1}, u_{r+2}, \dots, u_n$  be adjacent with  $u_2$ .

**Subcase 1**: 
$$r \ge 2$$
 and  $n - r \ge 2$ 

Then any two vertices adjacent with  $u_1$  do not form a semi-strong set. So also any vertex adjacent with  $u_2$ . Also any semi-strong set of G from  $\{u_3, u_4, ..., u_n\}$  cannot contain more than two vertices, provided  $< u_3, u_4, ..., u_n >$  has no isolates, in this case  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are independent.

**Subcase 2:**
$$r = 1$$
 or  $r = n - 3$ .

Suppose ss(G) = 2. Let S= {  $u_1, u_2$  } be a ss-set of G. Then for any  $u_i, (3 \le i \le n)$ ,  $u_i$  is adjacent with exactly one of  $u_1, u_2$ . Let  $u_3, u_4, ..., u_r$  be adjacent with  $u_1$  and  $u_{r+1}, u_{r+2}, ..., u_n$  be adjacent with  $u_2$ . Subcase 1:  $r \ge 2$  and  $n - r \ge 2$ .

Since  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  is a ss-set of G, and since  $u_1, u_2$  are adjacent for any subgraphinduced by  $u_3, u_4, ..., u_n$ ,  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  is a ss-set of G and ss(G) = 2.

**Subcase 2:**r = 1 or r = n - 3.

In this case also, ss(G) = 2 for any subgraph induced by  $u_3, u_4, ..., u_n$ . The converse is obvious.

**Theorem 5:** Let G be a graph. Then ss(G) = n - 1 if and only if there exists exactly one P<sub>3</sub> component and other components are ither K<sub>1</sub> or K<sub>2</sub>.

**Proof:** Let ss(G) = n - 1. Let  $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$ . Let S be a ss-set of G. Let  $S = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-1}\}$ . Any component of S is either  $K_1$  or  $K_2 \cdot |N(u_n) \cap S| \le 1$ . If  $u_n$  is not adjacent with any vertex of S, then  $S \cup \{u_n\}$  is a ss-set of G, a contradiction. If  $u_n$  is adjacent with exactly one  $K_1$  component of S, then again  $S \cup \{u_n\}$  is a ss-set of G, a contradiction. If  $u_n$  is adjacent with exactly one  $K_2$  component of S. That is,  $S \cup \{u_n\}$  contains exactly one  $P_3$ . That is, every component of G is either  $K_1$  or  $K_2$  or  $P_3$ , the  $P_3$  component beingunique. The converse is obvious.

## **References:**

- [1]. C. Berge, Graphs and Hyper graphs, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [2]. F.Harary, Graph Theory, Addison Wesley, 1969.
- [3]. T.W.Haynes, S.T.Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of domination in graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [4]. G. Jothilakshmi, A. P. Pushpalatha, S. Suganthi and V. Swaminathan, (k,r) Semi Strong Chromatic Number of a Graph, International Journal of Computer Applications, Vol. 21, No. 2, 2011, Pages 7-10.
- [5]. E. Sampathkumar and L. Pushpa Latha, Semi-Strong Chromatic Number of a Graph, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 26 (1): 35-40, 1995.